


1-2 POLITICS & SUPPRESSION

Historical Suppression of Technology

While unique circumstances and relationships are instrumental in the incubation and explosion of technology, other unique circumstances, persons, and relationships are likewise able to intentionally stifle, overpower or even destroy the accomplishments of another.




Galileo Galilei

Galileo, as historically portrayed by Bronowski in his *Ascent of Man*,¹⁰ is probably a very good example of how knowledge and technological improvements for the betterment of man can be easily stifled. These improvements are also sometimes completely buried for extended segments of history for the self-serving reasons of a “select” few despite the detriment to mankind’s development. In looking back, one has to wonder what type of world we might live in now, what science we might now have, and even what type of spiritual development we might have today, had the “truth” been allowed to flourish, propagate, and develop. We most assuredly will never know.

In the opening pages of Galileo’s *Dialogue on the Great World Systems* written around 1630, he twice said that Italian science (and trade) was in danger of being overtaken by northern rivals. This wasn’t a prophecy, but a logical assessment based on the observations and discoveries being made at the time by his contemporary Johannes Kepler. Kepler had successfully equated into mathematical formula the observations of Nicolaus Copernicus regarding the celestial bodies, effectively taking the observations and theories into the realm of unquestionable scientific fact. Ironically, Copernicus, a Polish cleric, published his first works under a pseudonym, knowing well that a “warning” and suppression from the Church would likely follow.¹¹



Nicolas Copernicus



Revolutionibus Orbium Coelestium, The Revolution of the Heavenly Orbs

¹⁰ Bronowski, *The Ascent of Man*, 1973, Little, Brown and Company

¹¹ Burk, *The Day the Universe Changed*, BBC Documentary 1985

It is ironic that despite his persecution by the establishment and the suppression that followed, it is to this day, Galileo who is credited for being the creator of the modern scientific method. [Bronowski] When he increased the magnification of his telescope, and turned it up to the stars, he did for the first time what we think of as practical science. He built an apparatus, performed the experiment, and then published the results.

The same year of Galileo's death was also the year when Isaac Newton was born. Newton later went on to describe in mathematics, the nature of the world in which we live. This model, Newtonian Physics, is what most of us were raised and educated in; a model we were essentially led to believe was absolute.



Sir Isaac Newton

The experiences of these first great men of science are similar to the trials and tribulations some researchers encounter today and contain parallels too numerous to ignore. Despite persecutions and prosecutions, science and mankind both will advance.

AUTHORITY HAS NOT ALWAYS SIDED WITH RIGHT BUT RATHER WHAT WAS FINANCIALLY OR POLITICALLY ADVANTAGEOUS.

The methods and very basic concepts that many of us have come to consider and study are no less reactionary and have no less financial or political implications than those experienced by the men we have just mentioned. The institutions that have the most to lose are those currently extracting the most out of each economy, each employer, each family, and each individual. For the public to realize that science or facts are something OTHER than that in which they were indoctrinated, would be to lose the very grasp by which the manipulators currently hold themselves in place and maintain their financial foundations.

The “threat” of upsetting this stranglehold has worldwide implications on the economies that have ALLOWED themselves to be enslaved by this scenario. It is not surprising that a technology such as Rife, or any of what might now be labeled as “alternative” systems of health care, will be intentionally and aggressively suppressed.

It may likely be that the system is not so much targeting this specific technology itself but rather anything that MIGHT endanger or potentially threaten the current philosophy of western pharmaceutical medicine. This is simply because there is so much MONEY involved and the loss would be detrimental to the status quo.

Russian Proverb: When money speaks, the truth is (keeps) silent.

Royal Raymond Rife

The story of Royal Raymond Rife and his science, his technology and the implications that accompanied his research was undoubtedly an equally threatening paradigm.

Any person who bothers to research the historical and or technical evidence can easily see this.

Just as the Church did in Galileo’s time, the pharmaceutical money monopolizes. Government agencies that protect major medical companies are doing everything possible to extend their effective lives at the expense of those they claim to serve.


Most folks will easily agree that the last century has seen remarkable advances in medicine and our understanding of the many processes involved. What is not as obvious is what has transpired in the manipulation of perspectives or even the “eradication” of those alternative and likely even more valid schools of thought.

Once again, the people themselves are beginning to rediscover and reexamine other methods of medicine available before the monopolization of western allopathic health care. The realization is occurring that not only the technologies but the underlying philosophies of health have been kept from us.

Alternative media, and ESPECIALLY the communication of the Internet, are rapidly bringing about a shift; teaching those who wish to look, learn, and educate themselves and allowing like-minded individuals and researchers to “connect”. Today we are capable of exchanging information and ideas in a way never before possible and in a manner that is technically impossible to slow or stop.

It is not the purpose of this book to educate the user in the complete history of Rife and Rife technology. While we have offered a brief historical overview, there are several excellent websites formally dedicated to presenting accurate and substantiated historical data. Stan Trueman’s www.rife.org¹² is excellent in its presentation, content, and ease of navigation. In our opinion, it is one of the best to be found. Another favorite is Dave Felt’s www.dfe.net¹³. Dave’s responsible validation (and possible invalidation) of technical and historical details of the Rife myth and legend is to be highly commended.

The Cancer Cure that Worked: Fifty Years of Suppression, written by Barry Lynes with John Crane,¹⁴ has long been the published foundation of the legend of Rife in a relatively brief but very clear format. Others have also published their perspectives in a likewise quickly readable and inexpensive book that I would especially recommend. It is Gerald Foye’s, *Royal R. Rife: Humanitarian Betrayed and Persecuted*.¹⁵




¹² www.rife.org website maintained by researcher/historian Stan Trueman (highly recommended)

¹³ www.dfe.net website maintained by researcher Dave Felt (highly recommended especially for the technically inclined.)

¹⁴ Lynes, Barry, *The Cancer Cure That Worked: Fifty Years of Suppression*, 1987, Marcus Books

¹⁵ Foye, Gerald, *Royal R. Rife: Humanitarian Betrayed and Persecuted*, 2001, 2002 (previously published in early 90s under the pseudonym Fred Farly)

Besides the historical foundation, today there are many aspects to consider. Nengah Sylver's (formally Nina Silver), *The Handbook of Rife Frequency Healing*¹⁶ is rapidly becoming the must-have "Bible" for Rife (and other frequency modalities) experimentation. If you have but ONE book in your Rife library, this should be the one.



Other Historical Foundations

Besides the "Rife specific", there are several other areas of interest or incidents worthy of mention as well. They will help describe the suppression of technology, and a fuller understanding of how this environment of suppression in relatively modern times came about.

Allopathy vs. Homeopathy

Allopathy refers to modern pharmaceutical-based medicine. This would include surgery and radiation as practiced by the orthodox physicians using medicine today. These treatments take an approach to curing an existing ailment of the human body. Allopathy is described as the usual method of treating disease with remedies that produce effects different from those caused by the disease itself. In one of our articles, "The Hypocrisy of Allopathy" (in Section 4), we discuss the how this method of treatment became established as the universal standard for conventional medicine and health practices.

Homeopathy refers to the art of curing founded on resemblances. It utilizes the theory that disease is cured by remedies which produce effects on a healthy person similar to the symptoms of the complaint under which the patient suffers. The remedy is usually administered in minute doses. Samuel Hahnemann, who lived from 1755 until 1843, is given credit for introducing pure homeopathic health care into the western world. Constantin Hering began to promote pure homeopathic medicine in the United States during 1835, according to J.A. English in his book "Health in the New Age". These treatments have taken the concept of a diagnostic approach to the concept that each anatomical part reflects the whole entity. Hahnemann, the founder of the homeopathy school, envisioned all disease stemming from ancient suppressions of genetic weaknesses. He postulated that the body's homeostatic mechanisms would cure the illness if given a chance to use its maximum vital force. Small doses of a remedy that imitated the illness would invoke the natural action of the vital force. Elimination and rebalance are the ultimate goals. Homeopathic medicine is only one of many holistic practices that bring the human body, as well as the human spirit, into the treatment of a disease. Holistic health care maintains the goal of educating people to accept responsibility for their own health care and prevention of bodily and spiritual disharmony. Until around the year 1900, homeopathic medicine was the standard taught in most medical schools in the United States of America.

¹⁶ Sylver, Nengah, *Handbook of Rife Frequency Healing: Holistic Technology for Cancer and Other Diseases*, 2001, Center for Frequency Education. (originally published under the name Nina Silver)




John D. Rockefeller

It was near that same point in history when the John D. Rockefeller family, who had gained total control over petroleum and chemical industrial production in the United States, allegedly entered into an agreement with I.G. Farben Co. of Germany not to compete with one another.¹⁷ The very wealthy families maneuvered the United States government into setting up a program of income taxes. Beforehand they had established giant non-profit foundations where they placed their wealth prior to passing the law. Thus the Ford Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation, the Carnegie Foundation and many other lesser-known foundations were used to guard the riches of the wealthy from the income tax law.


A decision made by the U.S. Supreme Court in May 1911, ordered that John Rockefeller dismantle his Standard Oil Company after it had been revealed that his Trust had participated in corruption and illegal business practices including racketeering. However, the decision caused no worries for him. Rockefeller had already strategically planned large investments in the medical system he would soon have control over as well. By that time, he and Andrew Carnegie had already sent their own change agent into the medical system to begin reorganizing its standards to better favor and promote their interests.

Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundation employed Abraham Flexner in 1904, to set across the United States to visit and evaluate each medical school and its curriculum. Flexner was tasked with producing a report on the current condition of the medical training system in North America. The report would be used to determine which medical schools would receive funding from the two sponsoring foundations and remain open and accredited. After he finished his tour, Flexner wrote a very critical



Abraham Flexner

report. It distinguished countless discrepancies with not only students' lessons and poor classroom environments, but also the brevity of overall training and limited knowledge of many professors. The Flexner Report would stir a wave of changes in the standards for entry and the overall curriculum for medical schools in the U.S. It caused many institutions to merge with others and suggested that medical schools should be attached to universities. The report also enforced that all proprietary schools should be closed down.



After being educated at Johns Hopkins University with a science-driven research background, Abraham Flexner developed a strong reserve towards homeopathic and other holistic treatment methods. He regarded these routines as “quackery” that are “non-conformist” to conventional pharmaceutical medicine and therefore ineffective. He openly admitted wanting to “antagonize” these methods in an effort to weed them from the community of conventional medicine through the publication of his report. Schools that taught methods of homeopathy, electromagnetic field therapy, naturopathy, etc. were demanded to drop these courses or lose their accreditation and underwriting support. Few of these


¹⁷ THE CANCER INDUSTRY, By Ralph W Moss Ph.D. Copyright 1999, Equinox Press, 144 St., John's Place, Brooklyn, N.Y. 11217

complementary and alternative schools resisted for some time before all had either complied with the new recommendations or closed their doors. The Flexner Report became the fast-moving vehicle that would drive Rockefeller's and Carnegie's interests into the sphere of medicine. Their Foundations would only donate to hospitals and medical schools that would eventually become the production centers for patented, synthetic drugs.

As an ironic side note, John Rockefeller, despite his enormous investment in the pharmaceutical industry, maintained his HOMEOPATHIC doctor until his death!

American Medical Association

When the AMA was formed in the United States during the year of 1847, it was purely a social and scientific organization. [5] During the year 1900, a group of medical politicians had a new constitution and bylaws drafted that gave them complete control of the organization. They then proceeded to have state corporations established which they controlled as well. One of the founders of this organization, George H. Simmons, reportedly played hard and loose with the AMA until 1924. At that time he was involved in such a scandal that it was necessary for him to resign from the AMA whereupon he set up his stooge, Morris Fishbein, in his position as director of the AMA. As editor of the AMA Journal, Fishbein devised and implemented methods that would earn millions from the advertisers in the publication. The advertisers were largely drug manufactures, so it was also to Fishbein's financial advantage to see that no treatment, other than drugs, reached or was made known to the doctors who read the Journal. Any physician who dared not to join the AMA was pressured to do so or otherwise ostracized by their peers.



Morris Fishbein

Crimes of Morris Fishbein

We may never know how many honest physicians were destroyed by Fishbein, but we do know of three well-publicized immoral crimes he committed. It has been said that Morris Fishbein is responsible for more deaths than Stalin and Hitler combined. [6] Many feel that he is responsible for the current medical environment and atrocities which continue within it today.

William F. Koch was born in Detroit, Michigan, on April 6, 1885. He received several degrees from 1909 and further on; a Doctor of Philosophy in 1917, then his degree as Doctor of Medicine in 1918. During his years as a research student, Koch published and made contributions to numerous technical publications, some of which were independently confirmed. Ironically, prior to the “reign” of Morris Fishbein, Koch’s writings were favorably commented on in the Journal of the AMA.¹⁸ His methods and reagents were successfully used as a practicing physician and widely shared, allowing other physicians to use his methods to treat patients in many parts of the United States. During the early 1920s Morris Fishbein tried to obtain control of Dr. Koch's formula. When he was unable to do so, he set to work to totally destroy him instead. Fishbein used the AMA and state agencies to harass Dr. Koch and during 1942, Fishbein persuaded the FDA/FTC to arrest and prosecute Dr. Koch, who was later in court for many years. After proving that his methods worked, the government dropped their case. Dr. Koch died on December 9, 1967, and due to the treatment that he received from the medical establishment during his lifetime, specific knowledge required in the production of authentic Koch reagents has not been publicly released.

Royal R. Rife was born in Nebraska in 1888. His education took him into microscopy and pathology. He was never a physician and never treated a patient himself. However, around 1920, he did design and construct a microscope that was powerful enough to "see" a live virus. By 1932, he was able to isolate the cancer virus. Rife also developed equipment that allowed him to discover that at a certain electromagnetic frequency, each and every microbe or virus is destroyed.¹⁹

Using this specially developed equipment and protocols, a group of physicians working with the University of California, reportedly treated sixteen terminally ill cancer patients during a 1934 clinical study. They reportedly completely cured all of them in four months’ time.

Morris Fishbein read the articles about the trial in the national and international press and attempted to buy the technology from Dr. Rife. When Fishbein was unable to purchase the technology, he allegedly persuaded one of Dr. Rife’s associates to file suit against the business corporation with the understanding that Fishbein would buy the technology from the employee. The legal attempt was unsuccessful but so much money and effort were spent that the venture was unable to continue building the equipment. The AMA, under Fishbein, then coerced most of the physicians using Dr. Rife's equipment in their research or treatment to stop or lose their licenses to practice medicine. The end result was humanity’s loss of a technology that would have likely lowered the price of health care and cost the allopathic medical establishment billions of dollars. This equipment could have likely saved the lives of millions of people who have died of cancer, malaria, tuberculosis and many other diseases

¹⁸ *William F. Koch website maintained by the Koch family, www.williamfkoeh.com*

¹⁹ «The Cancer Cure That Worked», By Barry Lynes, copyright 1987. Published in Canada by Marcus Books, P.O. Box 327, Queensville, Ontario, Canada L0G 1R0

since 1938. Today the FDA, AMA, and to some degree the FTC, continue to protect the financial wealth of the medical industry by making it virtually impossible for anyone to legally use Rife technology commercially in the United States. Yet hundreds of thousands of people continue to die each year that could have been treated and their lives possibly saved by the use of a refined and highly developed electronic therapy.


Harry A. Hoxsey was born around 1901 in Illinois.²⁰ Hoxsey inherited a formula for curing cancer from his grandfather. He used the formula to treat patients and had a wide range of success. At one time he had seventeen clinics working to treat cancer patients. He charged a modest fee to those who could pay but never turned anyone away for being unable to afford care. Morris Fishbein tried to buy the formula but was turned down by Hoxsey, so Fishbein had Hoxsey arrested by city, county and state officers over and over again. Hoxsey sued the AMA and Fishbein in Federal court and won causing Fishbein to have to resign from his position as director of the AMA. In the end, the FDA locked up all of the Hoxsey clinics at one time. Hoxsey gave the formula to his long-time chief nurse, Mildred Nelson, who moved the operation to Mexico where the formula is still used today.

A "Great Name" Who Will Live In History (Linus Pauling)

The systematic abuse and suppression has not been exclusively limited to the shenanigans of Morris Fishbein. We have seen similar criticism of the excellent and innovative work of others even during our recent times.

Linus Pauling was born in Portland, Oregon on February 28, 1901. In 1922 he received his B.S. degree in Chemical Engineering at Oregon A & M. He received his Ph. D. in 1925. In 1954, he was awarded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry. In 1963, he was also awarded the Nobel Prize for Peace for efforts to halt nuclear tests and promote world peace.

During his career, he taught at Caltech and was later ousted as head of his department there for his war and nuclear tests protests. He received grants from the Rockefeller and Ford Foundations for research and authored many books on chemistry, physics and alternative health care. These works were so technically substantial that he is considered the father of molecular biology which has transformed the biological sciences and medicine and provided the base for biotechnology.²¹



Many orthodox medical professionals did not give him or his ideas much support because he did not have an M.D. after his name. His research in human health was so far above most practicing physicians that they had no idea what he was lecturing and writing about.

Typical of far too many practitioners both then and now, they were not interested in learning about Pauling's methods. While working with forward-thinking MDs in the United States and Scotland, he researched the use of

²⁰ YOU DON'T HAVE TO DIE, By Harry M. Hoxsey, N.D., Copyright 1950, Milestone Books, New York, New York

²¹ The Linus Pauling Institute, Oregon State University, <http://lpi.oregonstate.edu/lpbio/lpbio2.html>

massive doses of ascorbic acid in "curing" cancer, heart disease, the common cold and many viral and bacterial infections. Since ascorbic acid or sodium ascorbate are un-patentable and inexpensive chemicals, the medical industry simply ignored all successful tests and treatments and even today few physicians in the world use them to treat their patients. To quote Linus Pauling in a statement made in 1948:

"A person should stay as far away from medical doctors as possible and try to avoid hospitals since they are dangerous places to be."²²

Today's technique of suppression at the hands of (as health-rights advocate Tim Bolen has so appropriately coined) "BigPharma" reveals itself as even more sinister and less accountable. The "Quackbusters" operation (which is rumored to be covertly funded by some pharmaceutical companies and advertising agencies) is a good example this behavior. The operation reportedly even labeled Linus Pauling (considered by many as one of the two most significant scientists of the 20th century) as a "quack"!

The systems and monopolistic organizations that have bullied their way into place are indeed something we will have to recognize, work within, and maneuver through. We must realize that these systems, not the doctors themselves, are to blame for medical narrow-mindedness and the limited ability of many conventional health care professionals to examine other competing ideas or technologies. It is often mentioned that medical doctors know nothing of Rife or frequency technology. When one takes a moment to consider this from a technical perspective, it should not be a surprise that most doctors PROBABLY couldn't even fix their own kitchen toaster (or any other small appliance for that matter). They simply were not educated in that area of science or given the mindset to consider it. Instead, they were only educated in procedures, chemicals, and equipment available to them via closely controlled and censored channels.

The acceptable standards for practice, the tight governmental controls, and pressures from peer organizations leave most conventional medical professionals little room for original thought and innovation. Acceptable practice protocols apparently limit information they can convey; even if they are aware of substances, devices, or treatments that do not fall within acceptable standard practices. "Standard practices" typically equates to pharmaceuticals, radiation and surgery.

Despite the barbaric and incredible inhumanity of the slaughter of the Jews during World War II, few citizens spoke out against it. Those few who tried to were promptly arrested, shot or worse! Many who participated in the atrocities, likely did so for their own survival. Is it not possible many ethical doctors find themselves in a similar situation? Many of us have personally talked to doctors and other medical professionals who have communicated with distress over the frustration of having to "practice the business of medicine" rather than the "healing art" they once considered a goal.

²² LINUS PAULING, *IN HIS OWN WORDS* Copyright 1995, Touchstone, 1230 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020

Most of us have, in one way or another, experienced or been affected directly by this scenario that has extended from the pharmaceutical empires through our governmental agencies and medical communities. It is not a surprise that pharmaceutical companies, some medical organizations and governmental agencies have been criticized and associated with “Nazi tactics”.

Current researchers, regardless of whether they are fully-credentialed medical professionals, degreed professional scholars, academic professionals, well qualified technical individuals, or even well-meaning private citizens stand the risk of experiencing the wrath of the powers put in place to assure a continuation of their current monopolistic practices. Each of them faces the possibility of undue and unethical (even illegal) pressures placed upon them if their research begins to mainstream in the normal evolution and development of a technology.

As we have mentioned before, the internet has provided an environment where it isn't likely the data or exchange of information will be suppressed as easily as it has been in the past. We may not see a revolution, but this content will be part of an EVOLUTION. It will become more and more a part of our culture, despite the resistance to those current technologies and practices which we may in the future refer to as barbaric and primitive; similar to yesteryear's practice of “bloodletting”. That was also an accepted and scientific practice of its day!